E-Filed 8/5/2011

2

1

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WILLIAM T. CARTER,

Plaintiff,

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS-SANTA CLARA COUNTY, et al.,

Defendants.

Case Number 5:09-cv-02413 JF (PSG)

ORDER¹ DISMISSING CASE WITHOUT PREJUDICE FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION

Plaintiff William Carter ("Carter"), a former employee of the Santa Clara County Department of Corrections ("the County"), brought the instant action against the County, Chief Edward Flores, and Sheriff Laurie Smith (collectively, "Defendants"). Defendants terminated Carter in March 2007 after taking disciplinary action against him on at least two separate occasions. Subsequently, Carter asserted claims for violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII"), the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA"), and related state laws.

On July 6, 2010, the Court granted Defendants' motion for judgment on the pleadings, with leave to amend. Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment and Granting Defendants' Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings With Leave to Amend, Dkt. 46. In that order, the Court gave Carter explicit direction as to how he might amend his complaint to assert

¹This disposition is not designated for publication in the official reports.

Case 5:09-cv-02413-JF Document 60 Filed 08/05/11 Page 2 of 3

cognizable claims. *Id.* In lieu of filing an amended complaint, Carter filed an amended motion for summary judgment. Amended Motion for Summary Judgment, Dkt 49. At a case management conference held on October 15, 2010, Carter explained that the motion was intended to serve as his amended complaint.²

Defendants moved to dismiss and Carter failed to file timely opposition.³ However, Carter did appear at oral argument. He represented that his medical condition prevented him from filing within the time allotted by the Civil Local Rules, and he indicated that he would be able to provide supporting documentation. Subsequently, Carter submitted a letter from Dr. Cecile Lee confirming that Carter is receiving treatment at the Department of Veterans Affairs Outpatient Clinic ("VA Clinic") in Oakland, California. Amended Briefing, Dkt. 59. The letter indicated that Carter received treatment on February 3, 2011; February 18, 2011; and March 25, 2011, and would continue to receive treatment on a regular basis. *Id*.

However, nothing in Dr. Lee's letter or Carter's other submissions explains why Carter was unable to file timely opposition to Defendants' motion to dismiss. Carter obviously was not receiving treatment on a daily basis, and it appears that he had ample time between visits either to file opposition or to seek a continuance pending the resolution of his treatment. Accordingly, the action will be dismissed without prejudice for lack of prosecution.

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the instant case be DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for lack of prosecution. All scheduled dates in this matter are VACATED, and all pending motions are TERMINATED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: August 4, 2011

JEREMY FOGEI
United States District Judge

² The motion thus is Carter's operative pleading.

³ Carter did file opposition papers after oral argument, but those papers did not explain the delay. *See* Response to Motion to Dismiss, Dkt. 58.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 WILLIAM T. CARTER, 8 9 10 DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS-SANTA 11 CLARA COUNTY, et al., 12 Defendants. 13 14 15 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee of the Office of the Clerk, United States District Court, Northern District of California. 16 17 On August 5, 2011, I served a true and correct copy of the attached document to each of the persons hereinafter listed by placing said copy in a postage paid envelope and depositing 18 said envelope in the United States mail, or by placing said envelope in the outgoing mail delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's Office: 19 20 William Carter 21 6955 Foothill Blvd. #100 Oakland, CA 94605 22 23 For the Court DATED: August 5, 2011 Richard W. Weiking, Clerk 24 By: _____ 25 Diana Munz 26 Courtroom Deputy Clerk 27 28

3